

**Monash University**  
**FIT 5124: Advanced Topics in Security**  
**Week 6 Tutorial Sheet**

Ron Steinfeld, 16 April 2015

This week's tutorial is related to the security and practical aspects of efficient encryption schemes based on the Ring-LWE problem.

## Problems

- 1 **Choosing parameters for Ring-LWE-based encryption.** Based on the cryptanalysis algorithm via reduction to Ring-SIS from the Week 5 tutorial for security estimation, and the security estimation for SIS from previous lectures (assuming it is the same as for Ring-SIS), choose parameters for the Diffie-Hellman analogue Ring-LWE encryption scheme (from lecture 4) at security level  $T \geq 2^{80}$  enumeration 'nodes', and decryption error probability  $p_e \leq 10^{-3}$ . How large is the public key, ciphertext, and ciphertext/plaintext expansion ratio? Compare with the LWE-based scheme parameters from week 5 tutorial.
- 2 **Importance of choice of polynomial ring in Ring-LWE.** Suppose we wanted to implement a ring-variant of Ajtai's collision-resistant hash function, using the ring  $R_q^- = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$  (the original NTRU ring), instead of the usual ring  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n + 1)$  discussed in the lectures. Do you think the resulting hash function still collision-resistant? If not, how can a collision be found with non-negligible probability in this hash function?
- 3 **Importance of making noise not too small in LWE/Ring-LWE.** Suppose we wanted to use LWE (or Ring-LWE) with binary noise, rather than noise coefficients with standard deviation  $> \sqrt{n}$  as in Regev's worst-case to average-case security reduction. Note that the noise coordinates  $e_i$  of the LWE instances all satisfy the quadratic equations  $e_i \cdot (1 - e_i) = 0 \pmod q$ . Explain how to use these equations to efficiently break the LWE problem when  $m$  exceeds about  $n^2$ , by reducing it to solving a linear system of  $n^2$  equations in  $n^2$  unknowns modulo  $q$ .
- 4 **Hardness of SSRing-LWE.** Prove that the SSRing-LWE from the lecture, with  $m - 1$  samples (with a 'small' secret  $e$  chosen from the Ring-LWE noise distribution  $\chi_{\alpha q}$ ) is as hard as the Ring-LWE (with a uniformly random secret  $s \leftarrow U(R_q)$ ) with  $m$  samples. Hint: Given a Ring-LWE instance, use the first sample to solve for the secret  $s$  and eliminate  $s$  from the remaining samples by substitution.
- 5 **Implementation Experiments.** Experiment with the Ring-LWE oracle generator module in Sage (see online Sage documentation cryptography modules). Try to use it to implement and experiment with one of the Ring-LWE based encryption schemes, or to experiment with the above algebraic attack of the distinguishing attack on Ring-LWE based on reduction to Ring-SIS.